

QUARTERLY GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Prepared for

**BLACK & DECKER (U.S.) INC.
Hampstead, Maryland**

April 2002

Prepared by

**Roy F. Weston, Inc.
1400 Weston Way
West Chester, Pennsylvania 19380**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1	INTRODUCTION	1-1
2	SITE CHARACTERISTICS	2-1
	2.1 Hydraulic Properties	2-1
	2.2 Effluent Characteristics	2-1
	2.3 Groundwater Quality Data	2-1
3	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE TREATMENT SYSTEM	3-1
4	RECOMMENDATIONS	4-1

LIST OF APPENDICIES

APPENDIX A - GROUNDWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM PUMPING RECORDS

APPENDIX B - DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORTS

APPENDIX C - GROUNDWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM ANALYTICAL RESULTS

APPENDIX D - GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
2-1	Treatment System Pumping Records – 1 st Quarter 2002	2-3
2-2	Groundwater Elevation Data – 1 st Quarter 2002	2-4
2-3	Effluent Characteristics Summary – 1 st Quarter 2002	2-5
2-4	Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results - February 2002	2-6
3-1	Treatment System Maintenance Activities - 1 st Quarter 2002	3-2

SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

This Groundwater Monitoring Report has been prepared to meet the requirements of Condition IV.G of the Administrative Consent Order between the State of Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) and Black & Decker (U.S.) Inc. (April 1995) (Consent Order). Specifically, Condition IV.G calls for preparation of a Groundwater Monitoring Report containing the following information for each reporting period: the quantities of groundwater pumped, treated, and discharged; the calculation of quantities of contaminants removed from groundwater; a summary of all sampling analyses; an explanation of all operational or other problems encountered, and the manner in which each problem was resolved; copies of all reports submitted to the Department of Natural Resources in conjunction with the Groundwater Appropriations Permit; and recommendations for changes to the Interim Groundwater Treatment System. This document is one of several which are being prepared in response to the Consent Order; each of these documents are to be submitted to the MDE in accordance with the schedule outlined in the Consent Order. This document will become part of the Administrative Record for the site which is maintained at the Hampstead Public Library.

SECTION 2

SITE CHARACTERISTICS

2.1 HYDRAULIC PROPERTIES

In accordance with the Consent Order and the Water Appropriation Permit issued to the Black and Decker (U.S.) Inc. Hampstead, Maryland, facility, the following pumping and water level information is included for the period of January through March 2002.

Pumping records showing the total gallons pumped per month of treatment system operation are presented in Table 2-1. The complete groundwater treatment system pumping records are included in Appendix A.

Monthly water levels for wells included in the water level monitoring plan are presented in Table 2-2. At the time the water level measurements were collected, the extraction wells were pumping at an average combined rate of approximately 140 gallons per minute (gpm).

2.2 EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS

Effluent characteristics of the NPDES discharge points are recorded monthly on Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) and are submitted to MDE, Water Management Administration, on a quarterly basis. A summary of the sample results from the DMRs is presented in Table 2-3. DMRs for the period of January through March 2002 are included in Appendix B

2.3 GROUNDWATER QUALITY DATA

For the reporting period of January through March 2002, approximately 38 pounds of total volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were removed from the groundwater by the extraction and treatment system. In general, the total VOCs removed from the groundwater were comprised primarily of trichloroethene (TCE) (71 %) and tetrachlorethene (PCE) (29 %). Analytical results of the groundwater collected at the inlet to the air stripper for the period of January through March 2002 are included in Appendix C.

A summary of the analytical results from the first quarter (February 2002) groundwater sampling round of the extraction and monitor wells is included in Table 2-4. The complete analytical data package is included in Appendix D. As found in earlier sampling events at the Black & Decker facility, TCE and PCE were the VOCs detected at the highest concentrations in the groundwater samples. The highest concentration of TCE was detected in the groundwater samples

Table 2-1
Treatment System Pumping Records - 1st Quarter 2002
Black & Decker
Hampstead, Maryland

Date	Water Pumped (gallons)
January 2002	6,097,699
February 2002	5,411,483
March 2002	5,967,831

Table 2-2
Groundwater Elevation Data - 1st Quarter 2002
Black & Decker
Hampstead, Maryland

WELL NO.	TOC ELEV.	TOTAL DEPTH	01/28/02		2/20/02		3/13/02	
			DTW	ELEV	DTW	ELEV	DTW	ELEV
EW-1	847.21	55	DRY	--	DRY	--	DRY	--
EW-2	849.21	110	89.91	759.30	93.23	755.98	94.24	754.97
EW-3	846.64	118	93.40	753.24	63.47	783.17	58.04	788.60
EW-4	858.01	97.5	NA	--	NA	--	NA	--
EW-5	864.17	98	86.95	777.22	87.95	776.22	88.17	776.00
EW-6	831.98	115	73.50	758.48	59.02	772.96	61.20	770.78
EW-7	818.38	78	55.31	763.07	50.14	768.24	51.67	766.71
EW-8	811.13	98	77.29	733.84	78.17	732.96	79.34	731.79
EW-9	811.35	141	105.00	706.35	101.00	710.35	102.00	709.35
EW-10	807.74	NA	53.88	753.86	53.85	753.89	54.63	753.11
RFW-1A	864.37	78	55.06	809.31	53.58	810.79	53.35	811.02
RFW-1B	864.23	200	55.08	809.15	53.64	810.59	53.36	810.87
RFW-2A	857.41	35	19.74	837.67	16.17	841.24	16.73	840.68
RFW-2B	857.73	75	20.26	837.47	16.80	840.93	17.21	840.52
RFW-3B	839.21	153	39.42	799.79	36.13	803.08	36.89	802.32
RFW-4A	830.37	62	40.37	790.00	38.51	791.86	38.26	792.11
RFW-4B	830.37	120	40.28	790.09	38.35	792.02	38.12	792.25
RFW-5A	817.50	30	DRY	--	DRY	--	DRY	--
RFW-6	785.04	120	6.11	778.93	3.06	781.98	1.26	783.78
RFW-7	805.14	29	8.36	796.78	7.01	798.13	7.87	797.27
RFW-8	860.07	56	DRY	--	DRY	--	DRY	--
RFW-9	862.02	49	29.42	832.60	26.72	835.30	26.57	835.45
RFW-10	852.06	58	DRY	--	DRY	--	DRY	--
RFW-11A	849.32	72	NA	--	NA	--	NA	--
RFW-11B	849.62	116	78.13	771.49	64.36	785.26	66.62	783.00
RFW-12B	844.87	264	55.78	789.09	53.99	790.88	54.43	790.44
RFW-13	849.11	150	64.49	784.62	63.91	785.20	64.41	784.70
RFW-14B	812.39	281	48.33	764.06	49.53	762.86	50.11	762.28
RFW-16	856.14	41	DRY	--	DRY	--	DRY	--
RFW-17	834.66	60.5	30.41	804.25	28.73	805.93	28.99	805.67
RFW-20	842.49	142	36.66	805.83	36.46	806.03	36.38	806.11
RFW-21	832.65	102	24.59	808.06	22.80	809.85	22.61	810.04
PH-7	805.94	89	36.02	769.92	36.21	769.73	36.84	769.10
PH-9	814.94	98	47.63	767.31	44.36	770.58	42.87	772.07
PH-11	820.68	78	45.29	775.39	39.87	780.81	39.21	781.47
PH-12	828.35	87	51.08	777.27	47.79	780.56	47.83	780.52
B-3	803.02	83	7.94	795.08	7.17	795.85	7.43	795.59
Amoco	842.29	NA	29.47	812.82	29.01	813.28	28.94	813.35
Hamp. Town #22	804.96	NA	26.91	778.05	0.94	804.02	0.73	804.23
Pembroke #1	NA	NA	10.98	--	11.27	--	11.38	--
Pembroke #2	NA	NA	NA	--	NA	--	NA	--
N. Houcks. Rd.	NA	NA	--	--	9.82	--	9.86	--
E. Century St.	NA	NA	--	--	11.23	--	11.16	--
Lwr. Beckleys. Rd.	NA	NA	57.17	--	55.98	--	56.14	--

NA - Not Available/Not Accessible

Table 2-3
Effluent Characteristics Summary - 1st Quarter 2002
Black & Decker
Hampstead, Maryland

Discharge Number	Parameter	Units	Permit Limits	DMR DATE		
				January 2002	February 2002	March 2002
001	FLOW	average	MGD	NA	0.116	0.090
		maximum	MGD	NA	0.171	0.104
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/l	5	< 5	< 5	< 5
	Tetrachloroethylene	ug/l	5	< 5	< 5	< 5
	Trichloroethylene	ug/l	5	< 5	< 5	< 5
	Total Residual Chlorine	mg/l	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
	Oil & Grease	maximum	mg/l	15	< 5	< 5
		quarterly average	mg/l	10	NR	NR
	pH	minimum	STD	6.0	6.53	6.51
		maximum	STD	8.5	6.85	6.84
BOD		mg/l	15	5.2	3.0	3.5
	TSS	maximum	mg/l	30	5.7	4.4
		quarterly average	mg/l	20	NR	4.0
	101	FLOW	average	MGD	NA	0.190
		maximum	MGD	NA	0.215	0.216
201	Fecal Coliform		MPN/100ml	200	< 2	< 2
		FLOW	average	MGD	NA	0.197
			maximum	MGD	NA	0.222
				NA	0.213	0.235
(Monitoring Point)	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/l	NA	< 5	< 5	< 5
	Tetrachloroethylene	ug/l	NA	< 5	< 5	< 5
	Trichloroethylene	ug/l	NA	< 5	< 5	< 5

DMR - Discharge Monitoring Report

NA - Not Applicable

NR - Not Reported

Table 2-4
Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results - February 2002
Black & Decker

Hampstead, Maryland

PARAMETER	UNITS	EW-1 (10)	EW-2 (5)	EW-3 (10)	EW-4 (10)	EW-5 (10)	EW-6 (10)	EW-7 (2)	EW-8 (2)	EW-9 (DUP) (2)	EW-10 (2)	RFW-1A	RFW-1B
Chloromethane	ug/L	NS	100 U	50 U	100 U	100 U	10 U	10 U	20 U	20 U	10 U	10 U	10 U
Bromomethane	ug/L	NS	100 U	50 U	100 U	100 U	10 U	10 U	20 U	20 U	10 U	10 U	10 U
Vinyl Chloride	ug/L	NS	100 U	50 U	100 U	100 U	10 U	10 U	20 U	20 U	10 U	10 U	10 U
Chloroethane	ug/L	NS	100 U	50 U	100 U	100 U	10 U	10 U	20 U	20 U	10 U	10 U	10 U
Methylene Chloride	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
Acetone	ug/L	NS	19 JB	50 U	19 JB	39 JB	34 B	4 JB	3 JB	6 JB	4 JB	4 JB	4 JB
Carbon Disulfide	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	5 U	5 U
1,1-Dichloroethene	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	5 U	5 U
1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	1 J	10 U	10 U	5 U	5 U
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	4 J	25	2 J	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
Chloroform	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
1,2-Dichloroethane	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
2-Butanone	ug/L	NS	100 U	50 U	55 J	100 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	20 U	20 U	10 U	10 U
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	10 U	5 U	5 U
Carbon Tetrachloride	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
Bromodichloromethane	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
Trichloroethene	ug/L	NS	1400	470	1400	520	16	6	19	4 J	4 J	5 U	5 U
Dibromo-chloromethane	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
Benzene	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
Bromoform	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ug/L	NS	100 U	50 U	100 U	100 U	10 U	10 U	20 U	20 U	10 U	10 U	10 U
2-Hexanone	ug/L	NS	100 U	50 U	100 U	100 U	10 U	10 U	20 U	20 U	10 U	10 U	10 U
Tetrachloroethene	ug/L	NS	65	9 J	18 J	13 J	36	16	160	350	360	5 J	5 U
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
Toluene	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
Chlorobenzene	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
Ethylbenzene	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
Styrene	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
Xylene (total)	ug/L	NS	50 U	25 U	50 U	50 U	5 U	5 U	10 U	10 U	5 U	5 U	5 U

Notes: U = Compound was analyzed for but not detected. Value shown is the method detection limit for quantification DUP = Duplicate sample
J = Indicates an estimated value.
NS = Not sampled

Table 2-4
Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results - February 2002
Black & Decker

Hampstead, Maryland

PARAMETER	Units	RFW-2A	RFW-2B	RFW-3B	RFW-4A	RFW-4A (DUP)	RFW-4B	RFW-5A	RFW-6	RFW-7	RFW-8	RFW-9	RFW-10	RFW-11	RFW-11B
Chloromethane	ug/L	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U	NS	NS	10 U				
Bromomethane	ug/L	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U	NS	NS	10 U				
Vinyl Chloride	ug/L	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U	NS	NS	10 U				
Chloroethane	ug/L	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U	NS	NS	10 U				
Methylene Chloride	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	3 J
Acetone	ug/L	3 JB	4 JB	3 JB	2 JB	3 JB	4 JB	NS	6 JB	3 JB	NS	4 JB	NS	NS	4 JB
Carbon Disulfide	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	5 U
1,1-Dichloroethene	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	5 U
1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/L	5 U	5 U	1 J	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	5 U
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	ug/L	5 U	5 U	18	1 J	1 J	4 J	NS	5 U	1 J	NS	5 J	NS	NS	5 U
Chloroform	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	1 J	1 J	1 J	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	5 U
1,2-Dichloroethane	ug/L	5 U	1 J	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	5 U
2-Butanone	ug/L	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U	NS	NS	10 U				
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/L	2 J	1 J	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	2 J	NS	NS	5 U
Carbon Tetrachloride	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	5 U
Bromodichloromethane	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	5 U
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	5 U
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	5 U
Trichloroethene	ug/L	6	5 J	2 J	74	73	29	NS	7	12	NS	25	NS	NS	120
Dibromo-chloromethane	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	5 U
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	5 U
Benzene	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	5 U
Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	5 U
Bromoform	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	5 U
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ug/L	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U	NS	NS	10 U				
2-Hexanone	ug/L	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U	NS	NS	10 U				
Tetrachloroethene	ug/L	5 U	5 U	8	71	75	85	NS	7	5 U	NS	6	NS	NS	2 J
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	5 U
Toluene	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	5 U
Chlorobenzene	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	5 U
Ethylbenzene	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	5 U
Styrene	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	5 U
Xylene (total)	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	NS	5 U

Notes: U = Compound was analyzed for but not detected. Value shown is the method detection limit for quantification.
J = Indicates an estimated value.

DUP = Duplicate sample
NS = Not sampled

Table 2-4
Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results - February 2002
Black & Decker
Hampstead, Maryland

PARAMETER	Units	RFW-12B (5)	RFW-13	RFW-16	RFW-17	RFW-20	RFW-21	Town #22	Town #23	Leister Dairy	Leister Res. #1	Leister Res. #2	Trip Blank
Chloromethane	ug/L	50 U	10 U	NS	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U
Bromomethane	ug/L	50 U	10 U	NS	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U
Vinyl Chloride	ug/L	50 U	10 U	NS	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U
Chloroethanane	ug/L	50 U	10 U	NS	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U
Methylene Chloride	ug/L	40	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
Acetone	ug/L	13 J	5 J	NS	3 JB	5 JB	3 JB	8 JB	2 JB	3 JB	NS	2 JB	
Carbon Disulfide	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
1,1-Dichloroethene	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	ug/L	12 J	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
Chloroform	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
1,2-Dichloroethane	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	2 J	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
2-Butanone	ug/L	50 U	10 U	NS	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
Carbon Tetrachloride	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
Bromodichloromethane	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
Trichloroethene	ug/L	530	6	NS	5 U	3 J	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
Dibromoethane	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
Benzene	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
Bromoform	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ug/L	50 U	10 U	NS	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U
2-Hexanone	ug/L	50 U	10 U	NS	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	10 U	NS	10 U
Tetrachloroethene	ug/L	24 J	39	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	2 J	5 U	5 U
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
Toluene	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
Chlorobenzene	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
Ethylbenzene	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
Styrene	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U
Xylene (total)	ug/L	25 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U

Notes: U = Compound was analyzed for but not detected. Value shown is the method detection limit for quantic DWP = Duplicate sample
J = Indicates an estimated value.
NS = Not sampled

collected from wells RFW-12B, EW-2 and EW-4. The highest concentration of PCE was detected in the groundwater sample collected from extraction well EW-9. Lower concentrations of 1,2-dichloroethene were also detected. The remainder of VOCs present were detected at levels well below the Federal Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCL).

SECTION 3

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE TREATMENT SYSTEM

A summary of the maintenance activities which were undertaken with the extraction and treatment system during the reporting period (January through March 2002) is provided in Table 3-1. This table is comprehensive in summarizing significant maintenance events or activities, while not including those activities considered unworthy of note (such as replacement of light bulbs, lubrication of moving parts as appropriate, or other routine activities).

Table 3-1
Treatment System Maintenance Activities - 1st Quarter 2002
Black & Decker
Hampstead, Maryland

Date	Event/Corrective Action
	No maintenance activities reported this quarter.

SECTION 4

RECOMMENDATIONS

For the reporting period of January through March 2002, the treatment system continued to create a hydraulic boundary preventing off-site migration of groundwater. The extraction system will continue to operate as currently configured to pump and treat contaminated groundwater. Depth-to-water measurements will continue to be collected on a monthly basis in all site monitor wells to construct a groundwater elevation contour map for the site. The groundwater elevation contour map will be used to verify that the required area of groundwater capture is being maintained. If necessary, pumping rates will be adjusted to maintain groundwater capture due to seasonal fluctuations in groundwater elevations. The treatment system will also continue to operate as currently configured, as data collected have proven that the treatment system is fully effective in removing VOCs from the extracted groundwater.