

Quarterly Groundwater Monitoring Report

Prepared for
Black & Decker (U.S.) Inc.

Hampstead, Maryland

April 2016

Prepared by

WESTON SOLUTIONS, INC.
West Chester, Pennsylvania 19380-1499

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1-1
2. SITE CHARACTERISTICS	2-1
2.1 HYDRAULIC PROPERTIES	2-1
2.2 EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS	2-1
2.3 GROUNDWATER QUALITY DATA	2-1
3. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE TREATMENT SYSTEM.....	3-1
4. RECOMMENDATIONS	4-1

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A - GROUNDWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM PUMPING RECORDS

APPENDIX B - DISCHARGE MONITORING REPORTS

APPENDIX C - GROUNDWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM ANALYTICAL RESULTS

APPENDIX D - GROUNDWATER ANALYTICAL DATA PACKAGE

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
Table 2-1 Treatment System Pumping Records – 1st Quarter 2016.....	2-2
Table 2-2 Groundwater Elevation Data – 1st Quarter 2016	2-3
Table 2-3 Effluent Characteristics Summary – 1st Quarter 2016	2-4
Table 2-4 Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results - February 2016.....	2-5
Table 3-1 Treatment System Maintenance Activities – 1st Quarter 2016.....	3-2

1. INTRODUCTION

This Groundwater Monitoring Report has been prepared to meet the requirements of Condition IV.G of the Administrative Consent Order between the State of Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) and Black & Decker (U.S.) Inc. (April 1995) (Consent Order). Specifically, Condition IV.G calls for preparation of a Groundwater Monitoring Report containing the following information for each reporting period:

- The quantities of groundwater pumped, treated, and discharged.
- The calculation of quantities of contaminants removed from groundwater.
- A summary of all sampling analyses.
- An explanation of all operational or other problems encountered, and the manner in which each problem was resolved.
- Copies of all reports submitted to the Department of Natural Resources in conjunction with the Groundwater Appropriations Permit.
- Recommendations for changes to the Interim Groundwater Treatment System.

This document is one of several which are being prepared in response to the Consent Order; each of these documents are to be submitted to the MDE in accordance with the schedule outlined in the Consent Order. This document will become part of the Administrative Record for the site, which is maintained at the Hampstead Public Library.

2. SITE CHARACTERISTICS

2.1 HYDRAULIC PROPERTIES

In accordance with the Consent Order and the Water Appropriation Permit issued to the Black and Decker (U.S.) Inc. Hampstead, Maryland, facility, the following pumping and water level information is included for the period of January through March 2016.

Pumping records showing the total gallons pumped per month of treatment system operation are presented in Table 2-1. The complete groundwater treatment system pumping records are included in Appendix A.

Monthly water levels for wells included in the water level monitoring plan are presented in Table 2-2. For the reporting period of January through March 2016, the extraction wells were pumping at an average combined rate of approximately 159 gallons per minute (gpm).

2.2 EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS

Effluent characteristics of the NPDES discharge points are recorded monthly on Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) and are submitted to MDE, Water Management Administration, on a quarterly basis. A summary of the sample results from the DMRs is presented in Table 2-3. DMRs for the period of January through March 2016 are included in Appendix B.

2.3 GROUNDWATER QUALITY DATA

For the reporting period of January through March 2016, approximately 10.47 pounds of total volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were removed from the groundwater by the extraction and treatment system. In general, the total VOCs removed from the groundwater were comprised primarily of trichloroethene (TCE) (67.2 %) and tetrachloroethene (PCE) (32.8%). Analytical results of the groundwater collected from the air stripper for the period of January through March 2016 are included in Appendix C.

A summary of the analytical results from the first quarter (February 2016) groundwater sampling round of the extraction and monitor wells is presented in Table 2-4. The complete

Table 2-1
Treatment System Pumping Records - 1st Quarter 2016
Stanley Black & Decker
Hampstead, Maryland

Date	Water Pumped (gallons)
January 2016	6,381,707
February 2016	6,386,548
March 2016	6,876,118

Table 2-2
Groundwater Elevation Data - 1st Quarter 2016
Black & Decker
Hampstead, Maryland

WELL NO.	TOC ELEV.	TOTAL DEPTH	1/16/2016		2/17/2016		3/12/2016	
			DTW	ELEV	DTW	ELEV	DTW	ELEV
EW-1	847.21	55	DRY	NC	DRY	NC	DRY	NC
EW-2	849.21	110	84.23	764.98	84.79	764.42	85.11	764.10
EW-3	846.64	118	89.87	756.77	89.98	756.66	90.56	756.08
EW-4	858.01	97.5	PC	NC	PC	NC	PC	NC
EW-5	864.17	98	92.24	771.93	92.65	771.52	92.30	771.87
EW-6	831.98	115	99.88	732.10	99.86	732.12	98.74	733.24
EW-7	818.38	78	71.41	746.97	69.95	748.43	71.50	746.88
EW-8	811.13	98	90.28	720.85	91.38	719.75	92.30	718.83
EW-9	811.35	141	101.25	710.10	102.48	708.87	101.45	709.90
EW-10	807.74	INA	54.89	752.85	52.25	755.49	54.28	753.46
RFW-1A	864.37	78	50.49	813.88	49.73	814.64	50.49	813.88
RFW-1B	864.23	200	50.52	813.71	49.77	814.46	50.57	813.66
RFW-2A	857.41	35	14.10	843.31	13.48	843.93	14.19	843.22
RFW-2B	857.73	75	14.58	843.15	14.12	843.61	14.77	842.96
RFW-3B	839.21	153	33.84	805.37	34.13	805.08	34.28	804.93
RFW-4A	830.37	62	36.78	793.59	36.80	793.57	37.29	793.08
RFW-4B	830.37	120	36.80	793.57	36.36	794.01	36.81	793.56
RFW-5A	817.50	30	DRY	NC	DRY	NC	DRY	NC
RFW-6	785.04	120	4.25	780.79	3.34	781.70	4.79	780.25
RFW-7	805.14	29	7.24	797.90	6.89	798.25	7.45	797.69
RFW-8	860.07	56	DRY	NC	DRY	NC	DRY	NC
RFW-9	862.02	49	26.89	835.13	25.66	836.36	26.59	835.43
RFW-10	852.06	58	DRY	NC	DRY	NC	DRY	NC
RFW-11A	849.32	72	Damaged	NC	Damaged	NC	Damaged	NC
RFW-11B	849.62	116	61.58	788.04	60.19	789.43	60.58	789.04
RFW-12B	844.87	264	50.56	794.31	49.98	794.89	50.27	794.60
RFW-13	849.11	150	62.77	786.34	65.50	783.61	64.89	784.22
RFW-14B	812.39	281	54.87	757.52	53.55	758.84	53.49	758.90
RFW-16	856.14	41	DRY	NC	DRY	NC	DRY	NC
RFW-17	834.66	60.5	26.25	808.41	25.94	808.72	26.08	808.58
RFW-20	842.49	142	34.88	807.61	34.64	807.85	34.65	807.84
RFW-21	832.65	102	22.59	810.06	22.35	810.30	23.05	809.60
PH-7	805.94	89	29.37	776.57	28.97	776.97	29.43	776.51
PH-9	814.94	98	51.23	763.71	50.94	764.00	51.33	763.61
PH-11	820.68	78	50.70	769.98	50.45	770.23	50.87	769.81
PH-12	828.35	87	51.22	777.13	51.25	777.10	51.98	776.37
B-3	803.02	83	9.04	793.98	9.89	793.13	9.94	793.08
Amoco	842.29	INA	NA	NC	NA	NC	NA	NC
Hamp. Town #22	804.96	INA	1.88	803.08	2.12	802.84	2.49	802.47
Pembroke #1	INA	INA	9.87	NC	11.11	NC	10.48	NC
Pembroke #2	INA	INA	Damaged	NC	Damaged	NC	Damaged	NC
N. Houcks. Rd.	INA	INA	10.04	NC	9.87	NC	9.86	NC
E. Century St.	INA	INA	19.22	NC	19.21	NC	19.23	NC
Lwr. Beckleys. Rd.	INA	INA	51.73	NC	51.89	NC	52.04	NC

NA - Not Available/Not Accessible

NC - Not Calculable

PC - Pump Cycles

Table 2-3
Effluent Characteristics Summary - 1st Quarter 2016
Black & Decker
Hampstead, Maryland

Discharge Number	Parameter	Units	Permit Limits	DMR DATE		
				January 2016	February 2016	March 2016
001	FLOW	average maximum	MGD MGD	NA 0.653	0.259 0.288	0.211 0.780
	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/l	5	<1	<1	<1
	Tetrachloroethylene	ug/l	5	<1	<1	<1
	Trichloroethylene	ug/l	5	<1	<1	<1
	Total Residual Chlorine	mg/l	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
	Oil & Grease	maximum monthly average	mg/l mg/l	15 10	<5 <5	<5 <5
	pH	minimum maximum	STD STD	6.0 8.5	6.7 7.7	6.7 7.0
	BOD	mg/l	15	<1	2.0	3.0
	TSS	maximum monthly average	mg/l mg/l	30 20	<1 <1	<1 <1
101	FLOW	average maximum	MGD MGD	NA NA	0.051 0.243	0.157 0.255
(Monitoring Point)	Fecal Coliform	MPN/100ml	200	1.0	1.0	1.0
201	FLOW	average maximum	MGD MGD	NA NA	NR NR	0.078 0.216
(Monitoring Point)	1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/l	NA	NR	NR	0.454
	Tetrachloroethylene	ug/l	NA	NR	NR	<1
	Trichloroethylene	ug/l	NA	NR	NR	<1

DMR - Discharge Monitoring Report

NA - Not Applicable

NR - Not Reported

Table 2-4
Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results - February 2016
Stanley Black & Decker
Hampstead, Maryland

PARAMETER	UNITS	EW-1	EW-2	EW-3	EW-4	EW-5	EW-6	EW-7	EW-8	EW-9 (DLP)	EW-10
Chloromethane	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
Bromomethane	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
Vinyl Chloride	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
Chloroethane	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
Methylene Chloride	ug/L	NS	2 U	2 U	NS	2 U	2 U	2 U	2 U	2 U	2 U
Acetone	ug/L	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
Carbon Disulfide	ug/L	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
1,1-Dichloroethene	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	0.8 J	1 U	1 U
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	ug/L	NS	3.8	2	NS	1 U	1 U	5.9	28	1 U	1 U
Chloroform	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
1,2-Dichloroethane	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
2-Butanone	ug/L	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
Carbon Tetrachloride	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
Bromodichloromethane	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
cis-,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
Trichloroethene	ug/L	NS	150	31	NS	94	6	3.7	7.5	0.7	0.5
Dibromochloromethane	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
Benzene	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
Bromoform	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ug/L	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
2-Hexanone	ug/L	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U
Tetrachloroethene	ug/L	NS	45	1.2	NS	2.7	9.4	8.9	66	110	5 U
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
Toluene	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
Chlorobenzene	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
Ethylbenzene	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
Styrene	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U
Xylene (total)	ug/L	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U

Notes: U = Compound was analyzed for but not detected. Value shown is the method detection limit for quantification.

J = Indicates an estimated value.

NS = Not Sampled

Table 2-4
Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results - February 2016
Stanley Black & Decker
Hampstead, Maryland

PARAMETER	UNITS	RFW-1A	RFW-1B	RFW-2A	RFW-2B	RFW-3B	RFW-4A (DUP)	RFW-4A	RFW-4B	RFW-5A	RFW-6	RFW-7	RFW-8	RFW-9	RFW-10	
Chloromethane	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
Bromomethane	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
Vinyl Chloride	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
Chloroethane	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
Methylene Chloride	ug/L	2 U	2 U	2 U	2 U	2 U	2 U	2 U	2 U	NS	2 U	2 U	NS	2 U	NS	
Acetone	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	
Carbon Disulfide	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	
1,1-Dichloroethene	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
1,2-Dichloroethene (total)	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	0.7 J	0.6 J	3.1	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	11	NS
Chloroform	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1.2	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS
1,2-Dichloroethane	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
2-Butanone	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
Bromodichloromethane	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
Trichloroethene	ug/L	1 U	0.7	0.7	1 U	23	22	43	NS	1.4	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
Dibromochloromethane	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
Benzene	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
Bromoform	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	
2-Hexanone	ug/L	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	5 U	NS	5 U	NS	
Tetrachloroethene	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	10	10	60	NS	1.8	1 U	1 U	NS	3.9	NS	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
Toluene	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
Chlorobenzene	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
Ethylbenzene	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
Styrene	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	
Xylene (total)	ug/L	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	1 U	NS	1 U	NS	

Notes: DUP = Duplicate sample
NS = Not sampled

U = Compound was analyzed for but not detected. Value shown is the method detection limit for quantification.
J = Indicates an estimated value.

Table 2-4
Summary of Groundwater Analytical Results - February 2016
Stanley Black & Decker
Hampstead, Maryland

Notes: Comments from Mueller DEW 20-6-21 Town 220-6-22 are produced with the UICEDA decision number 524-2 at the request of the MDE Source Protection and Abstraction Division

Samples from all of the above were analyzed with USEPA Method 8260.

Samples from all of

NS = Not sampled

Σ = Compound was analyzed but

analytical data package is included in Appendix D.

As found in earlier sampling events at the Black & Decker facility, TCE and PCE were the VOCs detected at the highest concentrations in the groundwater samples. The highest concentration of TCE was detected in the groundwater samples collected from wells EW-2 and RFW-4B and the highest concentration of PCE was detected in the groundwater sample collected from well EW-9. The remainder of VOCs present were detected at levels below the Federal Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCL).

3. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF THE TREATMENT SYSTEM

A summary of the maintenance activities which were undertaken with the extraction and treatment system during the reporting period (January through March 2016) is presented in Table 3-1. This table is comprehensive in summarizing significant maintenance events or activities, while not including those activities considered unworthy of note (such as replacement of light bulbs, lubrication of moving parts as appropriate or other routine maintenance activities).

Table 3-1
Treatment System Maintenance Activities - 1st Quarter 2016
Black & Decker
Hampstead, Maryland

Date	Event/Corrective Action
Jan-16	Alarm at the stripper due to faulty heating elements on EW-2 and EW-9 , the heating elements were replaced the wells are back online.
Jan-16	Blown stripper transformer due to heavy snow. A temporary feed was run to the stripper, while the transformer was being replaced. The transformer is back online.
Feb -16	Alarm at the stripper due to flooding at well EW-10 caused by melting snow and heavy rain. Next day EW-10 was reset and is back online.
Feb -16	Power outage onsite due to heavy storms, when the power was restored the system was reset and is back online.
Feb -16	EW-4 is down due to a water leak within the well house which caused damage to the control panel, the parts have been ordered to repair the well but the well is still down.

4. RECOMMENDATIONS

For the reporting period of January through March 2016, the treatment system continued to create a hydraulic boundary preventing off-site migration of groundwater. The extraction system will continue to operate as currently configured to pump and treat contaminated groundwater. Depth-to-water measurements will continue to be collected on a monthly basis in all site monitor wells to construct a groundwater elevation contour map for the site. The groundwater elevation contour map will be used to verify that the required area of groundwater capture is being maintained. If necessary, pumping rates will be adjusted to maintain groundwater capture due to seasonal fluctuations in groundwater elevations. The treatment system will also continue to operate as currently configured, as data collected have proven that the treatment system is fully effective in removing VOCs from the extracted groundwater.